Firing Modes of Dopamine Neurons Drive Bidirectional GIRK Channel Plasticity
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G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium (GIRK) channels contribute to the resting membrane potential of many neurons, including dopamine (DA) neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). VTA DA neurons are bistable, firing in two modes: one characterized by bursts of action potentials, the other by tonic firing at a lower frequency. Here we provide evidence that these firing modes drive bidirectional plasticity of GIRK channel-mediated currents. In acute midbrain slices of mice, we observed that in vitro burst activation of VTA DA neurons potentiated GIRK currents whereas tonic firing depressed these currents. This plasticity was not specific to the metabotropic receptor activating the GIRK channels, as direct activation of GIRK channels by nonhydrolyzable GTP also potentiated the currents. The plasticity of GIRK currents required NMDA receptor and CaMKII activation, and involved protein trafficking through specific PDZ domains of GIRK2c and GIRK3 subunit isoforms. Prolonged tonic firing may thus enhance the probability to switch into burst-firing mode, which then potentiates GIRK currents and favors the return to baseline. In conclusion, activity-dependent GIRK channel plasticity may represent a slow destabilization process favoring the switch between the two firing modes of VTA DA neurons.
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Introduction

G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium (GIRK) channels are effectors of Gαi/o-protein-coupled receptors (Plaffinger et al., 1985; Andrade et al., 1986). Four GIRK subunits have been identified (GIRK1–4; Dascal et al., 1993; Kubo et al., 1993; Lesage et al., 1994; Krapivinsky et al., 1995), assembling as homo- or hetero-tetramers. GABA₉ receptors (GABA₉R), composed of GABA₉A and GABA₉B subunits, activate GIRK channels to generate IPSCs, which mediate slow inhibition (Dutar and Nicoll, 1988; Lüscher et al., 1997). Glutamate and other neuromodulators, e.g., dopamine, adenosine, and serotonin, also activate GIRK channels via their cognate metabotropic receptor (Lüscher et al., 1997). GIRK channels therefore represent an important target for the modulation of neuronal function (Lujan et al., 2014).

While GIRK channel inhibitory function is well recognized, we know little about the plasticity of the slow IPSC. It has been shown that pairing glutamate release with postsynaptic depolarization potentiates GABA₉R-mediated slow IPSCs in hippocampal CA1 neurons (Huang et al., 2005). This plasticity was shown to depend on NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation, Ca²⁺, and CaMKII, but the expression mechanism remains elusive. Studies in cultured hippocampal neurons reported that pharmacological activation of NMDAR leads to smaller GABA₉R-evoked currents, relying on CaMKII actions on GABA₉R subunit 1 (Guert et al., 2010) and protein phosphatase 2 (PP2A) on GABA₉R subunit 2 (Terunuma et al., 2010), respectively. Two recent studies further revealed that PP2A-mediated internalization of GABA₉R led to cointernalization of GIRK channels and increased membrane excitability (Padgett et al., 2012; Hearing et al., 2013). Moreover, GIRK channel phosphorylation levels also affect their surface expression (Chung et al., 2009a,b). Altogether these studies suggest that GIRK channels are capable of plastic adaptations, but mechanistic insight is lacking. Here we assess the induction criteria for GIRK plasticity in dopamine (DA) neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and explore its expression mechanism.

GIRK channels are highly expressed in VTA DA neurons, where they allow activity modulation by GABA₉R (Johnson and North, 1992; Erhardt et al., 2002; Cruz et al., 2004) and DA type 2 receptor (D2R; Lacey et al., 1987; Kim et al., 1995). D2R are autoreceptors through which dendritically released DA provides a negative feedback signal and decreases DA neuron spontaneous firing (Beckstead et al., 2004; Gantz et al., 2013). In vivo, DA
neurons fire either in a low tonic or higher bursting and phasic fashion (Grace and Bunney, 1984a,b). Bursting activity is believed to depend on NMDAR activation (Overton and Clark, 1992; Zweifel et al., 2011); however, it is not known whether adaptations occur at the level of the slow inhibition in DA neurons, which therefore would act as a slow destabilizing process responding to these abrupt firing changes.

In this study, we report bidirectional slow IPSC plasticity in the form of burst firing-induced potentiation and tonic firing-induced depression of GIRK currents, affecting both GABAB receptor (GABABR) and D2R function. The potentiation requires NMDAR activation, intracellular Ca2+ and CaMKII activation. Furthermore, we show that expression of both potentiation and depression relies on protein trafficking via specific PDZ domains of both GIRK2c and GIRK3 subunits.

Materials and Methods

Animals. C57BL/6 male or female mice were bred locally and housed under constant temperature and humidity on a 12 h light/dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. Pitx3-GFP (Zhao et al., 2004) is a knock-in mouse that was kindly provided by Meng Li, Imperial College London. All procedures were performed in the light cycle and complied with the Veterinary Office of Geneva guidelines for animal handling at University of Geneva and the Salk Institute.

Electrophysiology in acute slices. Mice were killed and horizontal slices from midbrain (250 μm) were prepared in ice-cold artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl2, 2.5 CaCl2, 1 NaH2PO4, 26.2 NaHCO3, and 11 glucose, pH 7.3, continuously bubbled with 95/5% O2/CO2. Slices were warmed to 33°C and incubated for 30 min, then transferred to the recording chamber superfused with ACSF (2.5 ml/min) at ~33°C. Epifluorescence with a U-LH100HG mercury lamp (Olympus) was used to visualize GFP and whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from DA neurons in the VTA, identified as the region medial to the medial terminal nucleus of the accessory optical tract. When using non-GFP mice, DA neurons were identified by the presence of an I1 current and a large capacitance (40–100 pF). All currents were measured with an internal solution containing the following (in mM): 140 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 0.2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 4 Na2ATP, 10 creatine-phosphate, and 0.3 Na3GTP. All synaptic currents were recorded in the presence of NBQX (10 μM) and sulpiride (200 μM) for GABABR IPSC, and picrotoxin (100 μM) for GABABR slow IPSC. Baclofen, quinpirole, and GTPγS-evoked currents were measured in the absence of any specific antagonist. APV was used at 50 μM to antagonize the NMDAR-mediated currents. The Ca2+ chelator BAPTA was used at 10 μM. The stimulation electrode consisted of a saline-filled monopolar glass pipette, placed caudally to the cell being recorded. GABAAR paired-pulse ratio (PPR) was assessed by applying two pulses at 50 ms interval, every 10 s, whereas the GABAAR slow IPSC was evoked by a train of 10 electrical pulses at 66 Hz, once every 20–40 s. The decay time kinetic of the slow IPSC was measured as weighted tau (τw) following a double exponential fitting. Caged GTPγS was photolyzed by flashing UV light for 2 s on the slice. Currents were filtered at 1 kHz and digitized at 5 kHz (National Instruments PCI-MIO-16E-4 card) and saved on the computer (IGOR Pro; WaveMetrics). Cells were clamped at −60 mV. Cell membrane and access resistance were measured with each sweep. All chemicals for electrophysiology were purchased from Tocris Bioscience except caged GTPγS (Invitrogen). We did not observe any differences between wild-type and Pitx3-GFP mice, therefore, we pooled the data.

Results

Activity of VTA DA neurons induces plasticity of the slow IPSC

We first investigated whether the two modes of activity of VTA DA neurons (Fig. 1A,C) affect the slow IPSC. We prepared horizontal slices containing the VTA and recorded from identified DA neurons (Pitx3-GFP mice; Zhao et al., 2004). Electrical stimulation (10 pulses at 66 Hz; Padgett et al., 2012) elicited a stable outward slow IPSC in the presence of GABABR, AMPA receptors (AMPA) and D2R antagonists (Fig. 1B). This slow IPSC was sensitive to CGP54626, a selective high-affinity GABABR antagonist. Imposing high-frequency bursting to the recorded DA neuron (5-pulse 20 Hz burst, every 500 ms for 5 min, in current-clamp mode I = 0; Fig. 1A), mimicking the burst firing recorded in vivo during rewarding stimuli (Hyland et al., 2002), we observed a long-lasting potentiation of the slow IPSC (192 ± 38% of baseline; Fig. 1B). This did not affect the decay time kinetic of the slow IPSC measured as weighted tau (τw) before 268 ± 74 vs τw after 297 ± 71, t(12) = 0.28, p = 0.78. We then asked whether DA neurons could downregulate the GABAergic slow inhibition in a situation of tonic activity (McCutcheon et al., 2012). We imposed tonic firing to the patched DA neurons (2 Hz for 2.5 min, in current-clamp mode I = 0; Fig. 1C). This resulted in a significant decrease of the slow IPSC amplitude (60 ± 3% of baseline; Fig. 1D) with no change in the decay time kinetic of the...
the depolarization protocol was first applied then followed by burst protocol (203 ± 23% then 248 ± 29% of baseline, \( t_{(12)} = 1.20, p = 0.25; \) Fig. 2C). The opposite procedure was also tested (187 ± 20% then 206 ± 29% of baseline, \( t_{(10)} = 1.20, p = 0.55; \) Fig. 2D). In each case, no further significant potentiation of the slow IPSC was observed, indicating that both protocols share parts of the same molecular mechanism for the slow IPSC potentiation. We also measured GABA\(_B\)-mediated fast-kinetic responses before and after the depolarization protocol. Neither the amplitude (105 ± 8% of baseline; Fig. 2E) nor the PPR was modified (PPR pre, 0.79 ± 0.10; PPR post, 0.75 ± 0.14, \( t_{(14)} = 0.23, p = 0.82; \) Fig. 2F). These data suggest that the increase of GABA-mediated inhibition onto DA neurons following protocols mimicking sustained activity is mediated postsynaptically and is specific to the slow inhibition induced by GABA\(_B\)-GIRK complexes.

**Slow IPSC potentiation requires protein surface delivery**

In hippocampal CA1 neurons, induction of slow IPSC potentiation shares molecular pathways with AMPAR long-term potentiation (Huang et al., 2005). We tested this possibility in VTA DA neurons. Both bath application of APV and intracellular BAPTA blocked the depolarization-induced slow IPSC potentiation, compared with control experiments (control dep., open circles, 303 ± 33% of baseline; APV, closed circles, 101 ± 17% of baseline, \( t_{(13)} = 4.68, p = 0.0004; \) BAPTA, gray circles, 102 ± 7% of baseline, \( t_{(15)} = 5.6, p < 0.001; \) Fig. 3A). Furthermore, inhibiting CaMKII with intracellular KN93 also prevented the increase in the slow IPSC amplitude, whereas the inactive equivalent KN92 had no effect (KN93, closed circles, 81 ± 7% of baseline; KN92, open circles, 260 ± 46% of baseline, \( t_{(10)} = 3.22, p = 0.009; \) Fig. 3B). These results confirm that the induction of the slow IPSC potentiation in the VTA, just as in the hippocampus, relies on the activation of NMDAR, leading to an increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and CaMKII activation.

A possible scenario underlying the expression of the slow IPSC potentiation could be an increase in the number of receptors or channels on the cell surface. To test for the requirement of protein exocytosis, we added tetanus toxin (TeTx) to the recording pipette and dialyzed the cells for 20 min. TeTx had no effect on basal slow IPSC amplitude (data not shown), but blocked the potentiation of the current, which was intact when a heat-inactivated variant of the toxin was used (TeTx, open circles, 85 ± 11% of baseline; Inact. TeTx, open circles, 210 ± 13% of baseline, \( t_{(12)} = 7.38, p < 0.0001; \) Fig. 3C). These results demonstrate the necessity of protein trafficking and insertion at the membrane, and suggest that GABA\(_B\)-R, GIRK channels, or both are subjected to this trafficking.

**Slow IPSC potentiation relies on GIRK channel plasticity**

To further investigate whether the GABA\(_B\)-R or the GIRK channel or both are trafficked to the membrane, we asked whether the slow IPSC potentiation was selective for GABA\(_B\)-R to GIRK channel signaling or also affected DA D2R currents. To this end we compared the currents generated by bath application of specific agonists for GABA\(_B\)-R and the D2R. First, GABA\(_B\)-GIRK currents were induced with bath application of a saturating concentration of baclofen (300 \( \mu \)M) and monitored for 20 min. These agonist-evoked currents displayed the typical DA neuron-specific desensitization (Cruz et al., 2004) and were blocked by CGP54626 (gray trace and bar, 289 ± 16 pA; Fig. 4A). In separate slices, baclofen-evoked currents measured after the depolarization protocol (see above) were significantly larger than control (red trace and bar, 498 ± 74 pA, \( t_{(23)} = 2.30, p = 0.030; \) Fig. 4A). Again,

---

**Figure 2.** GABA\(_B\)-GIRK slow IPSC potentiation is mediated postsynaptically. A, Depolarization protocol representation (2.5 min at −20 mV). B, The GABA\(_B\)-GIRK slow IPSC is potentiated after neuronal depolarization (Dep; closed arrow, \( n = 10 \)). C, Further potentiation is occluded when the burst protocol (open arrow) is applied after the depolarization protocol (\( n = 7 \)). D, The opposite manipulation also resulted in no further potentiation of the slow IPSCs (\( n = 6 \)). E, Outward GABA\(_A\) receptor-mediated current amplitude does not change after the depolarization protocol (\( n = 8 \)). The current is blocked by picrotoxin (100 \( \mu \)M, gray trace). F, PPR, measured at a 50 ms interval, is not affected. Insets, Example average traces of the slow IPSC before (black) and after (red) the first protocol. Blue traces are example average IPSC after the second protocol is applied. Calibration: slow IPSC, 20 pA, 200 ms; fast IPSC, 20 pA, 10 ms.

slow IPSC (\( \tau_{\text{before}} = 264 ± 56 \) ms vs \( \tau_{\text{after}} = 275 ± 50 \) ms, \( t_{(8)} = 0.16, p = 0.88 \)). These data suggest that GABA\(_B\)-GIRK can undergo bidirectional plastic changes; the currents were potentiated in response to high neuronal activity, and depressed in conditions mimicking tonic activity.

Since burst activation depolarizes the cells, we tested whether the sole depolarization of the recorded neurons from −60 to −20 mV for 2.5 min (Fig. 2A) could also potentiate the slow IPSC. This indeed led to an increase in the amplitude of the GABA\(_B\)-GIRK slow IPSC (\( 278 ± 61\% \) of baseline; Fig. 2B) with no change in the decay time kinetic of the slow IPSC (\( \tau_{\text{before}} = 263 ± 64 \) ms vs \( \tau_{\text{after}} = 272 ± 69 \) ms, \( t_{(14)} = 0.008, p = 0.93 \)). However, the development of this depolarization-induced potentiation exhibited a different kinetic compared with the one induced by the burst protocol. To assess whether these two protocols share common induction pathways, we performed occlusion experiments where...
bath application of APV blocked the potentiation (open bar, 253 ± 39 pA, \(t_{24} = 2.47, p = 0.021\); Fig. 4A). We next repeated this sequence of experiments with a saturating concentration of quinpirole (40 \(\mu M\)), a specific D2R agonist. Quinpirole evoked highly desensitizing currents that were blocked by sulpiride, a specific D2R antagonist (gray trace and bar, 98 ± 11 pA; Fig. 4B). Again, quinpirole-evoked currents were significantly larger after depolarization of the cell (red trace and bar, 200 ± 34 pA, \(t_{25} = 2.97, p = 0.003\); Fig. 4B), and the potentiation was also blocked by APV (open bar, 112 ± 27 pA, \(t_{21} = 1.94, p = 0.033\); Fig. 4B). These results indicate that the potentiation of the slow inhibition is not restricted to the GABA<sub>g</sub>-GIRK pathway, but overlaps with other neurotransmitter systems, strongly suggesting a plasticity of GIRK channel, independently of the GPCR involved. If this is the case, then direct activation of GIRK channels, bypassing GPCR activation, should also be potentiated after application of the depolarization protocol. To test this, we used the photo-caged version of the nonhydrolyzable GTPγS. UV-flash photolysis allows fast, receptor-independent G-protein activation, which evoked large desensitizing currents that were blocked by Ba<sup>2+</sup>, a nonspecific K<sup>+</sup> channel blocker (gray trace and bar, 465 ± 55 pA; Fig. 4C). As predicted, the depolarization protocol potentiated GIRK currents, which at baseline were larger than baclofen-evoked currents in line with a complete recruitment of all channels in the cell (red trace and bar, 825 ± 104 pA, \(t_{23} = 2.99, p = 0.006\); Fig. 4C). Similar to the potentiation induced by activation of GABA<sub>g</sub>R and D2R, APV was able to block the plasticity induced by the sole activation of GIRK channels (open bar, 519 ± 82 pA, \(t_{21} = 2.21, p = 0.038\); Fig. 4C). This experiment confirms that the potentiation of the slow IPSC is expressed by GIRK channel-specific trafficking.

**GIRK current potentiation requires GIRK2c and GIRK3 PDZ domains**

VTA DA neurons are unique in that they express GIRK2c and GIRK3 subunit isoforms but not GIRK1 (Cruz et al., 2004). Therefore, functional channels of DA neurons are composed of either GIRK2c-GIRK3 heteromers or GIRK2 homomers. To identify the subunit composition of GIRK channels involved in the slow IPSC potentiation, we recorded GABA<sub>g</sub>-GIRK currents in the GIRK3 KO mouse (Torrecilla et al., 2002). As previously shown in the substantia nigra pars compacta DA neurons (Koyrakh et al., 2005), baclofen-evoked currents are of similar amplitude despite the absence of GIRK3 subunit (gray trace and bar, 273 ± 38 pA; Fig. 5A). However, the depolarization protocol no longer led to the potentiation of the baclofen-evoked current (red trace and bar, 300 ± 46 pA, \(t_{11} = 0.46, p = 0.66\); Fig. 5A), arguing for a critical role of GIRK2c-GIRK3 heteromers in the expression of the slow IPSC potentiation. Both GIRK2c and GIRK3 exhibit a PDZ binding in their C-terminal tail that has been implicated in their trafficking (Lunn et al., 2007; Balana et al., 2011). We hypothesized that these motifs may be implicated in the expression of the GIRK current potentiation. To verify this we designed specific dominant-negative peptides for the PDZ domain of GIRK2c or GIRK3 subunits. As shown previously, these peptides bind to and prevent interactions of PDZ binding proteins specifically with GIRK2c and GIRK3 (Balana et al., 2011). We added the peptide to the recording pipette and infused neurons for 20 min before applying the depolarization protocol. The presence of both GIRK2c and GIRK3 dominant-negative peptide did not affect basal slow IPSC amplitude (data not shown), but blocked the potentiation of the synaptic evoked current, whereas a scrambled control peptide had no effect (control, open circles, 257 ± 55% of baseline, GIRK3, closed circles, 93 ± 13% of baseline, \(t_{14} = 3.11, p = 0.0068\); GIRK2c, gray symbols, 109 ± 12% of baseline, \(t_{14} = 2.49, p = 0.025\); Fig. 5B). We conclude that both GIRK2c and GIRK3 subunit isoforms are...
required for the expression of the GABA<sub>B</sub>-GIRK slow IPSC potentiation, which occurs through specific PDZ domain interaction on both subunit isoforms.

**Alternate mode firing is sufficient to reverse GIRK plasticity**

The slow IPSC is potentiated in response to high, burst-like neuronal activity and depressed in conditions mimicking tonic activity (Fig. 1). In the next set of experiments, we tested the ability of low-frequency stimulation (LFS; 2 Hz) to acutely reverse the depolarization-induced slow IPSC potentiation. In this case LFS was applied on the slice to electrically stimulate glutamatergic axon terminals, thereby evoking NMDAR-mediated currents, blocked by APV and mimicking a tonic activity in DA neurons (Fig. 6A). LFS induced a sustained depression of the amplitude of the slow IPSC, which was blocked in the presence of APV (2 Hz, open circles, 48 ± 6% of baseline; APV, closed circles, 106 ± 17% of baseline, t<sub>17</sub> = 3.5, p = 0.010; Fig. 6B). The decay time of the slow IPSC was not modified (t<sub>decrease</sub> = 213 ± 44 vs t<sub>decrease</sub> = 283 ± 53, t<sub>10</sub> = 0.43, p = 0.68). Such depression is most likely postsynaptic-expressed since LFS did not affect the release probability of GABA. Indeed, neither the amplitude of the GABA<sub>A</sub>-mediated fast IPSCs (110 ± 13% of baseline; Fig. 6C) nor the PPR was modified by the LFS protocol (PPR pre, 0.71 ± 0.04; PPR post, 0.72 ± 0.06, t<sub>10</sub> = 0.24, p = 0.82; Fig. 6D). We next addressed the question of a potential internalization of GIRK channels via their PDZ binding domains (Balana et al., 2011). To do so we recorded the amplitude of the slow IPSC before and after the LFS, in the presence of the GIRK3 PDZ dominant-negative peptide. In these conditions the LFS protocol failed to induce the slow IPSC depression, whereas a scrambled control peptide did not affect the magnitude of the LTD (GIRK3, closed circles, 95 ± 4% of baseline, control, open circles, 57 ± 2% of baseline, t<sub>9</sub> = 8.9, p < 0.0001; Fig. 6E). These data suggest that similarly to the potentiation of the slow IPSC, its depression also relies on PDZ domain-dependent GIRK channel trafficking.

In a last set of experiments, after eliciting a stable slow IPSC, we first applied the depolarization protocol and recorded an increase in the amplitude of the slow IPSC (after depolarization, red trace, 230 ± 22% of baseline; Fig. 6F). We then allowed 10 min of stable potentiated slow IPSC before applying the LFS. In this case the LFS induced a partial but significant decrease of the slow IPSC amplitude (after 2 Hz, blue trace, 157 ± 23% of baseline, t<sub>18</sub> = 2.3, p = 0.025; Fig. 6F). These data demonstrate that the GIRK channel-mediated slow inhibition rapidly adapts to changes in response to DA neuronal activity.

**Discussion**

In the present study we observe the plasticity of GIRK currents as an intrinsic mechanism engaged in response to a switch in VTA DA neuron firing mode. We provide evidence for increased slow IPSC amplitude in response to burst firing and—mirror—these observations—a decrease of the slow IPSC amplitude following...
prolonged tonic firing. These protocols were also sufficient to reverse previously potentiated slow IPSCs (depotentiation). We characterize the underlying mechanism and show that it is independent of the GPCR activation, requires NMDAR and CaMKII, and is expressed by trafficking of channels containing the GIRK2c and GIRK3 subunit through interactions of specific PDZ domains.

Expression of the slow IPSC potentiation involves increased GIRK surface insertion

Given the constituent proteins generating the slow IPSC (GPCR, GABA_B-receptor and GIRK channel), its plasticity may involve several distinct expression mechanisms. A change in number of receptors and/or channels would affect the amplitude of the slow IPSC as well as the maximal agonist-evoked current (efficacy). Changing the coupling efficiency between receptor and effector, on the other hand, would also affect synaptic currents and reflect a potency change. An example of the former mechanism is the reduction of GABA_B receptor number at the cell surface (Guett et al., 2010; Terunuma et al., 2010; Padgett et al., 2012) or the increase in GIRK2 channels in spines of hippocampal neurons leading to potentiated serotonin and adenosine-evoked current (Chung et al., 2009a; Nassirpour et al., 2010). Phosphorylation of GIRK subunits may change their intrinsic properties such as open channel probability, open state duration, or single channel conductance (Mao et al., 2004), again affecting efficacy. Potency changes involve regulator proteins of G-protein signaling type 2 (RGS2), which can decrease GABA_B-GIRK coupling in VTA DA neurons (Labouèbe et al., 2007). In general GIRK channels containing the GIRK1 subunit are more efficiently coupled to GABA_B than channels without this subunit (Cruz et al., 2004).

The manipulations performed in the present study change the amplitude of GIRK currents elicited with a saturating agonist concentration in line with a change of the number/function of GIRK channels. The fact that the GIRK channels mediate plasticity expression is further supported by the observation that both GABA_A- and D2 receptor-mediated GIRK currents undergo potentiation with the depolarization protocol. Furthermore the GTPyS experiments, where maximal currents were elicited independently of receptor activation, argue against a potency change. Finally, blocking the potentiation of the slow IPSC with TeTx indicates that trafficking of proteins to the surface is required and suggests a change in number or function of GIRK channels as the major expression mechanism.

GIRK channel trafficking through PDZ domains

An expression mechanism that relies on the delivery of specific subunit-containing GIRK channels is supported by our observation that the slow IPSC potentiation was absent in slices from GIRK3 KO mice. As shown previously, native GIRK currents in VTA DA neurons are carried by channels that contain the GIRK3 subunit, which has a C-terminal tail containing a PDZ binding domain implicated in GIRK channel trafficking (Lunn et al., 2007). We confirm this role with a dominant-negative peptide targeting this PDZ domain, which blocked both slow IPSC potentiation and depression, suggesting that the PDZ domain mediates bidirectional channel trafficking. Since a similar peptide–aimed at the corresponding domain on GIRK2c–also blocked the potentiation, the channels delivered are most likely GIRK2c/3 heteromers, suggesting an increase in the number of channels at the membrane. However, we cannot exclude that GPCR are also upregulated, as it was previously reported that internalization of GABA_A could drag GIRK channels along, probably due to macrocomplex formation and proximity (Padgett et al., 2012).

Future studies will have to identify which proteins are responsible for binding GIRK subunits to drive their removal and insertion at the cell surface. G-protein-insensitive inwardly rectifying K^+ channels (kir2) bear similar PDZ domains and have been shown to be regulated by proteins of the postsynaptic density like SAP-97 and PSD-93, leading to increased stability at the membrane (Leonoudakis et al., 2004; Leyland and Dart, 2004). Despite high PDZ structure similarity, GIRK2c and GIRK3 do not interact with SAP-97 or PSD-95 (Hibino et al., 2000; Nehring et al., 2000). However, an interaction has been revealed with sorting nexin protein 27 (SNX27; Lunn et al., 2007), which specifically recognizes GIRK2c and GIRK3, but not Kir2.1 subunits (Balana et al., 2011). SNX27 has been reported to mediate both endosome-directed trafficking of GIRK channels and membrane trafficking of receptors like β2-adrenoreceptor (Lauffer et al., 2010), and therefore represents a potential candidate in mediating GIRK channel plasticity.

GIRK channel plasticity as a destabilization mechanism of neural firing mode

Phasic-like activity is sufficient to potentiate the GABA_B-GIRK slow IPSC while tonic activity causes a depression. This bidirectional plasticity requires NMDAR activation, intracellular Ca^2+, and CaMKII activation, which are hallmarks of glutamatergic transmission long-term potentiation induction (Nicoll and Roche, 2013). This suggests that the specificity for excitatory versus inhibitory plasticity may not reside in the main molecular machinery but in channel-specific trafficking or auxiliary proteins (Kullmann et al., 2012). However, the slow IPSC potentiation differs in several ways from the Hebbian mechanism of synaptic plasticity. First, it is not input specific or restricted to a specific set of synapses, since the potentiation can be revealed by GABA_A and D2 receptors or direct GIRK channel activation. Second, it does not require input stimulation, as burst firing and depolarization were applied in the absence of any electrical stimulation. Third, rather than reinforcing a stimulated connection, this adaptation seems to work as a compensatory mechanism to provide a mean for DA neurons to hyperpolarize following an intense period of firing (mimicked by both sustained burst firing or prolonged depolarization). And, last, tonic activity in DA neurons depresses the GIRK-mediated slow IPSC, as observed following either 2 Hz firing imposed to the cell or 2 Hz electrical stimulation evoking NMDAR activation. This slow IPSC depression is also postsynaptically mediated and expressed through GIRK channel trafficking via their PDZ binding domain. Together these observations suggest an intrinsic compensatory mechanism to regulate neuronal activity and maintain it close to a certain threshold, where GIRK current plasticity would represent a slow destabilizing process through which VTA DA neurons regulate their bistability. This is reminiscent of synaptic scaling reported at the level of neurons and networks (Turritigiano et al., 1998; Turritigiano and Nelson, 2000). In other words, the slow IPSC modulation may be a form of homeostatic plasticity.

DA neurons are central to crucial brain processes such as motivation, learning, and reinforcement (Schultz, 2006; Grace et al., 2007; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010). External sensory cues constantly modulate DA neuron activity, mostly through glutamatergic transmission, rapidly switching back and forth from tonic to phasic firing mode (Schultz, 1998; Hyland et al., 2002). Our results suggest that GIRK plasticity similarly follows changes in firing mode, as tonic activity acutely depotentiated previously.
inward-rectifier K(+) channel through the GK domain. EMBO J 19:78–83. CrossRef Medline
Creating a homeostatic GABAergic signaling mechanism may provide a common compensatory mechanism across cell types and brain regions.
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