Benodiazepines are widely used clinically to treat anxiety and insomnia. They also induce muscle relaxation, control epileptic seizures, and can produce amnesia. Moreover, benzodiazepines are often abused after chronic clinical treatment and also for recreational purposes. Within weeks, tolerance to the pharmacological effects can develop as a sign of dependence. In vulnerable individuals with compulsive drug use, addiction will be diagnosed. Here we review recent observations from animal models regarding the cellular and molecular basis that might underlie the addictive properties of benzodiazepines. These data reveal how benzodiazepines, acting through specific GABA_A receptor subtypes, activate midbrain dopamine neurons, and how this could hijack the mesolimbic reward system. Such findings have important implications for the future design of benzodiazepines with reduced or even absent addiction liability.

Introduction

Four benzodiazepines (BDZs), alprazolam (Xanax®), clonazepam (Klonopin®), diazepam (Valium®), and lorazepam (Ativan®) are listed among the 200 most commonly prescribed drugs in the US [1,2]. Since the discovery in 1955 of the first BDZ, chlordiazepoxide (Librium®) [3], about 30 other BDZs have been introduced for clinical use. They are typically categorized according to their pharmacokinetic properties as either short-, intermediate- or long-acting, and are prescribed to obtain one of the following major effects: decrease of sleep latency, reduction of anxiety, suppression of epileptic seizures or relaxation of muscle spasms (Box 1). BDZs can also induce anterograde amnesia, which can be considered as an adverse side-effect at times, but loss of memory for unpleasant events could also be a useful effect, for example during invasive medical procedures (Box 1). In general, BDZs are safe and effective for short-term treatment; however, long-term use is controversial due to the development of tolerance (see Glossary) and their liability for physical dependence [4]. The Drug Abuse Warning Network, which monitors prescription and illicit drug use, found that two of the most frequently reported prescription medications in drug abuse-related cases are opioid-based pain relievers and BDZs (http://www.nida.nih.gov). Furthermore, BDZ abuse often occurs in conjunction with the abuse of another substance (e.g. alcohol or cocaine), making treatment approaches even more difficult. The knowledge of how BDZs induce addiction might help in the development of anxiolytics and hypnotics with lower addictive liability.

All addictive drugs, as well as natural rewards, increase dopamine (DA) levels in the mesolimbic dopamine (DA) system, also termed the reward system (Box 2). Several landmark studies with monkeys have shown that DA neurons play a role in signaling ‘reward error prediction’, and thus are involved in learning processes related to reward and intrinsic value. Specifically, DA neurons are excited following the presentation of an unexpected reward. Once this reward becomes predictable (by an experimentally controlled cue), DA neurons shift their phasic activation from the reward to the cue. Finally, when the cue is present but the reward is withheld, DA neurons are inhibited [5,6]. Unlike natural rewards, addictive drugs always cause an increase in DA levels upon drug exposure.

Glossary

AMPA/NMDA ratio: ratio of the amplitudes of EPSCs mediated by AMPARs and NMDARs, respectively. An increase in the AMPA/NMDA ratio could be due to enhanced AMPAR transmission, reduced NMDAR transmission, or a concomitant change in both components. Changes in the AMPA/NMDA ratio are considered to be a hallmark of synaptic plasticity.

Dependence: an adaptive state induced by chronic drug use that becomes apparent when abrupt cessation of drug use induces a withdrawal syndrome, which is typically preceded by tolerance.

Drug addiction: a chronic disorder characterized by compulsive drug-seeking and drug-taking behavior that persists despite negative consequences. An I/V curve: current/voltage relationship that is determined by plotting the EPSC amplitude as a function of the membrane potential. For AMPAR-mediated EPSCs in VTA DA neurons, the I/V curve is linear and reverses at 0 mV in slices from naïve or saline injected mice. After administration of an addictive drug, the I/V curve is inwardly rectifying (i.e. currents at positive potential are smaller than currents recorded at mirrored negative potential). Inward rectification is the biophysical signature of GluA2-lacking AMPARs and is also considered to be a hallmark of synaptic plasticity.

Progressive-ratio test: a refinement of the self-administration paradigm (below) in which particularly reinforcing substances are tested using a progressive-ratio scheme. The animal has to press the lever several times to receive one dose. During the test the ratio between lever presses and drug injection is gradually increased until the animal stops pressing the lever which is defined as the breakpoint.

Self-administration: a behavioral paradigm used to evaluate the reinforcing properties of a substance. Animals learn to press a lever to obtain an injection of a drug. The number of lever presses is taken to quantify the reinforcement of the substance.

Tolerance: reduction in the response to the drug upon repeated administration. A progressive increase in drug dosage is therefore necessary to experience the desired effects.
Box 1. BDZs and their pharmacological effects

BDZs have a number of clinically approved uses, in addition to some adverse and unwanted side-effects. Their principal pharmacological actions are outlined below:

**Clinical uses**

Sleep disorders: BDZs are used in the treatment of insomnia. They can help to initiate sleep (i.e. reduce latency) and to maintain sleep [90].

Anxiety disorders: many studies have demonstrated the effective use of BDZs in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder and other related anxiety disorders [90] such as panic attacks. These studies have also shown that BDZs are superior to other medications used to treat anxiety disorders, such as barbiturates and antipsychotic agents [91]. Antidepressants (such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) are also used for the treatment of anxiety disorders, however, BDZs have the advantage of a rapid onset of action.

Convulsive disorders: BDZs can be used in the treatment of epilepsy in children and adults [92]. However, a significant limitation is that tolerance to the anticonvulsant effects of BDZs often develops in patients. Nevertheless, BDZs are often administered for the treatment of convulsive emergencies and can reduce the mortality associated with severe epileptic seizures [91].

Muscle spasms: BDZs are also effectively used for muscle relaxation [91].

**Side-effects and recreational use**

Anterograde amnesia: BDZs can induce anterograde amnesia at low concentrations. This property of BDZs can be used for beneficial purposes (such as during surgical procedures to reduce psychological trauma [93]), however, it can also be misused for illegal purposes. For instance, flunitrazepam (Rohypnol) is often cited as a date-rape drug, due to its rapid pharmacokinetics and ability to induce strong amnesia.

Dependence and addiction: following initial administration of BDZs a person can feel sleepy and uncoordinated, but tolerance to these sedative effects develops rapidly. After a few weeks physical dependence takes place, which manifests as a withdrawal syndrome when use of the drug is reduced or abruptly stopped. A protracted withdrawal syndrome can develop in a proportion of individuals and can include sleep disturbances, irritability, increased tension and anxiety, panic attacks, sweating, and a host of other perceptual changes [94]. In a small number of people these symptoms can be severe and resemble serious psychiatric and neurological conditions, such as schizophrenia and seizure disorders [95]. A serious side-effect of benzodiazepine withdrawal is suicide [96]. Addiction is less frequent than dependence, but is associated with chronic use and relapse after withdrawal. Unfortunately, BDZ abuse often occurs in conjunction with the abuse of another substance, such as alcohol or cocaine, making treatment approaches even more difficult.

Our understanding of the specific neural mechanisms underlying these pharmacological actions has developed to the point where specific GABAAR subtypes have been identified that mediate each of these specific effects (Figure I).
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Figure I. GABAAR BDZ-specific pharmacology. The past decade has seen an emerging understanding of the specific GABAAR subtypes responsible for mediating the diverse spectrum of BDZ pharmacological effects. For example, the sedative actions are known to be mediated by α1-containing GABAARs, whereas the anxiolytic actions are mediated by α2-containing GABAARs.

---

even after repeated trials [7]. This interruption of normal DA signaling mechanisms could allow addictive drugs to hijack the reward system and lead to the malfunction of mechanisms controlling learning and memory.

Recent findings have demonstrated that BDZs engage pharmacological and cellular mechanisms in the mesolimbic DA system [8,9] that are similar in nature to those previously identified for other drugs of abuse [10–12]. In this review we provide an overview of the current understanding of the molecular mechanisms that could underlie the addictive properties of BDZs. Furthermore, we discuss how such knowledge of the neural basis of BDZ could be harnessed to design new BDZs with lower addiction liability.

**BDZs positively modulate GABA_A receptor function**

**GABA_A receptor diversity**

BDZs are positive allosteric modulators of the γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors (GABAARs) (Box 3). GABAARs...
Box 2. The neurobiology of addiction

Evidence from animal models and humans has converged to demonstrate that the mesolimbic DA system is crucially involved in mediating the rewarding properties of drugs of abuse [11,12] (Figure I). Despite their chemical heterogeneity and distinct molecular targets, one common feature and property of all addictive drugs is that they increase DA concentrations in target structures of the mesolimbic projections [11,12]. Another feature common to addictive drugs is that they are capable of inducing synaptic plasticity changes. A single injection of cocaine is sufficient to induce plasticity at excitatory synapses onto DA neurons in the VTA [58,59]. This synaptic plasticity is mediated in part by the increased synaptic insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPARs [97] and this induces a long-term potentiation (LTP)-like state in VTA DA neurons [58,98,99].

Drug-induced alterations in the GABA inhibitory regulation of DA neurons have also been implicated for opiates [100,101], cocaine [102], and more recently, BDZs [9], as discussed in this review. Although these early adaptive changes are certainly not sufficient to explain the long-term development of addiction, they are believed to represent one of the key and necessary permissive steps involved in the progression of the disease. Moreover, long-term synaptic changes and cell morphology changes have been observed after repeated exposure to drugs in other nuclei of the mesolimbic DA system. For example, in the NAc, the AMPAR levels are increased during cocaine withdrawal [103]. Other studies have shown that repeated treatments with either amphetamine or cocaine lead to an increase in the number of dendritic branches and the density of dendritic spines on medium spiny neurons in the shell of the NAc, on apical dendrites of layer V pyramidal cells in the prefrontal cortex. Cocaine also increased dendritic branching and spine density on the basal dendrites of pyramidal cells. In addition, both drugs doubled the numbers of branched spines on medium spiny neurons [104].

Addiction has been proposed to arise from a sequence of drug-evoked synaptic plasticity stages [63,105,106]. In this model, DA release represents a coincidence detector to trigger synaptic plasticity changes first in the VTA, followed by changes in the ventral striatum, the substantia nigra and eventually the dorsal striatum. Over time, addictive drugs hijack the reward system and other brain circuitry that modulate learning and memory processes, such that behavior becomes automatic and compulsive.

Figure I. Schematic cartoon depicting the circuitry of the mesolimbic DA system. The reward system originates in the VTA, which is composed of two major cell types, the DA neurons and the GABA neurons. The DA neurons (blue) project to the ventral striatum, the NAc, the PFC and the hippocampus. The VTA receives inhibitory projections (red) back from the NAc and from the pedunculopontine nucleus (PPT) and excitatory projections (green) from the PFC, the PPT, the amygdala, the lateral hypothalamus (LH), the laterodorsal tegmental nucleus (LDTg) and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), and also receives local inhibitory feedback from the GABA interneurons in the VTA.

are ligand-gated chloride-selective ion channels that are physiologically activated by GABA, the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain. In addition to GABA$_{A}$Rs, GABA also activates GABA$_{B}$Rs and GABA$_{C}$Rs. GABA$_{B}$Rs are metabotropic receptors involved in slow inhibitory neurotransmission [13]. GABA$_{C}$Rs are ionotropic receptors composed of p (rho) subunits that are both related to and classified by the International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology (IUPHAR) as GABA$_{B}$R subunits. In vertebrates, the p1 subunit is only expressed in retinal bipolar or horizontal cells [14], whereas the p2 and p3 subunits are also found elsewhere [15]. Both types of receptors are insensitive to BDZs and therefore will not be further discussed here.

Functional GABA$_{A}$Rs comprise a combination of five subunits derived from seven main receptor subunit families (a, b, g, d, e, p and q). Many of these subunits exist as multiple isoforms (e.g. a1–6, b1–3 and g1–3) [16–18]. In the CNS the most common receptor (≈43% of all GABA$_{A}$Rs) is comprised of a1, b2 and g2 subunits, with a defined stoichiometry of 2a:2b:1g [19,20]. The different subunit isoforms are expressed with regional specificity [18] and in a cell-type-specific manner (e.g. the a6 subunit isoform is largely expressed in cerebellar granule cells [21]). GABA$_{A}$Rs are often resident at synaptic sites, predominantly postsynaptically but also presynaptically [22], and some are expressed largely exclusively at peri- and extra-synaptic sites (e.g. the δ subunit [23,24]).

**BDZ binding site within GABA$_{A}$Rs**

Although the possibility of a distinct BDZ receptor has been suggested, it has become clear that BDZs bind to a specific site present on most GABA$_{A}$Rs [25,26]. BDZs bind to a pocket formed by the α and the γ subunits that is distinct from the agonist binding site located between one α and one β subunit [27,28]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that a specific histidine residue (H101) within the α1 subunit [29] and the homologous residues within the α2, α3 and α5 subunits (H101, H126 and H105, respectively) are crucial for BDZ action [30]. GABA$_{A}$Rs containing α4 and α6 subunit isoforms are insensitive to BDZs because they contain an arginine residue at this crucial position [31,32]. This knowledge has been exploited to generate several lines of knock-in (KI) mice in which the relevant histidine residue has been mutated to an arginine within different α subunits [33–37]. GABA$_{A}$Rs containing such mutant α subunit isoforms are largely insensitive to BDZs [29,30,33,35] and therefore are ideal tools for studying the contribution of individual GABA$_{A}$R subtypes to the pharmacological effects of BDZs.

**Towards a GABA$_{A}$R subtype-specific BDZ pharmacology**

Pharmacological and behavioral studies in KI mice have led to correlations between a specific α subunit isoform and one or several of the five major effects of BDZs described above (Box 1). These studies have revealed that GABA$_{A}$Rs...
Three groups of drugs which bind at the BDZ-binding site can be distinguished based on the type of modulation: positive allosteric modulators (also termed agonists), negative allosteric modulators (or inverse agonists) and antagonists (Table 1).

Positive allosteric modulators (e.g. diazepam, zolpidem) potentiate the function of GABA\(_A\)Rs. This potentiating action is thought to be induced by a change in conformation that allows for an increase in receptor affinity for GABA. The mechanism of such an increase in the affinity for GABA is still debated, but many studies in diverse models (e.g. dissociated cell cultures and heterologous expression systems) have demonstrated that diazepam increases single-channel conductance [107] and single-channel open probability [108]. Flunitrazepam also decreases receptor deactivation by ~50% accompanied by increased receptor desensitization [109]. Other studies have shown that BDZs increase the association rate of GABA and also decrease the dissociation rate of GABA [108–110]. Finally, it has also been demonstrated that diazepam increases the apparent affinity of the receptor, not by altering the affinity of the closed state, but instead by shifting the equilibrium towards the high-affinity open state [111].

The negative allosteric modulators (e.g. Ro15-4543, sometimes also referred to as an inverse agonist because it binds to the BDZ site) have opposite effects to the positive allosteric modulators at the BDZ site. They decrease the affinity for GABA and are anxiogenic and proconvulsant.

The antagonists (e.g. flumazenil) do not affect the GABA-elicited response; therefore they do not modify the affinity of GABA for the receptor. However, flumazenil binds to the BDZ site and competes with the binding of positive allosteric modulators. In this fashion it acts as a competitive antagonist and inhibits allosteric modulation of GABA\(_A\)Rs. Flumazenil is routinely used in the clinic to counteract BDZ overdoses.

containing the \(a1\) subunit mediate the sedative, the anterograde amnesic, and partly the anticonvulsive effects of diazepam [33,34]. GABA\(_A\)Rs containing \(a2\) mediate the anxiolytic actions and to a large degree the myorelaxant effects [35,38]. GABA\(_A\)Rs containing \(a3\) and \(a5\) also contribute to BDZ myorelaxant actions [35,36,38], whereas GABA\(_A\)Rs comprising the \(a5\) subunit were shown to modulate the temporal and spatial memory effects of BDZs [36,39,40]. Recently, the addictive properties of BDZs have been shown to require the \(a1\)-containing GABA\(_A\)Rs [8,9] (Box 1).

The latter findings rely on the cell-type-specific GABA\(_A\)Rs and BDZ pharmacology in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). The VTA is a brain region involved in the mesolimbic DA pathway (Box 2). It is predominantly comprised of DA neurons (~70%), GABA interneurons (~20%) and glutamatergic neurons [41,42]. Both GABA and DA neurons express GABA\(_A\)Rs. Specifically, DA neurons express mRNA for \(a2\), \(a3\), \(a4\), \(b1\), \(b3\) and \(y2\) subunit isoforms [43], whereas interneurons express \(a1\), \(b2\) and \(y2\) subunit isoforms [44,45]. Cell-type-specific expression of the \(a3\) and \(a1\) isoforms in DA and GABA neurons, respectively, has recently been confirmed with immunohistochemistry [9] (Figure 1). Furthermore, such cell-specific expression patterns have been confirmed by electrophysiological studies that have assessed the functional properties of inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) using wild-type (WT) and \(a1\)-H101R and \(a3\)-H126R KI mice [9], and these are crucial to the understanding of how BDZs activate DA neurons of the VTA (next section).

Disinhibition: a mechanism shared among several addictive drugs to increase DA

**Benzodiazepines**

BDZs have a stronger impact on GABA neurons than on DA neurons. At baseline, miniature IPSCs of GABA neurons are slower and bigger than those of DA neurons. In the presence of BDZs the spontaneous IPSC frequency is increased in GABA neurons and decreased in DA neurons [9]. As a result, GABA neurons are more strongly hyperpolarized in the presence of BDZs and no longer inhibit DA neurons as seen with in vivo single-unit recordings [9] (Figure 1). This cellular mechanism is termed the disinhibition of DA neurons and has also been demonstrated for other addictive drugs such as morphine [46] and \(\gamma\)-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) [47–49] (discussed further below).

A previous study in rats had already suggested that VTA DA neurons can be disinhibited after intravenous (i.v.) injection of diazepam [50]. However, a microdialysis study in rats contradicted these earlier findings [51]. Indeed, subcutaneous acute or chronic (twice a day for 14 days) injections of midazolam decreased extracellular DA concentrations in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (as measured 40 min after the injection). Similar results were obtained in rats when midazolam [52] or flurazepam [53] was locally injected into the NAc. However, in this latter case, because the drug is restricted to the NAc, GABA\(_A\)Rs of VTA cells are not potentiated, which could explain this result. Moreover, the time resolution of the microdialysis assay might be too slow to detect an early increase in DA levels. In line with this interpretation, fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) studies have shown that activation of GABA\(_A\)Rs by direct administration of the GABA\(_A\)R agonist muscimol into the VTA significantly increased DA release in the NAc [54].

**Opioids**

Opioids activate \(\mu\) opioid receptors selectively located on GABA interneurons in the VTA [46]. They are metabotropic receptors coupled to \(G_{\text{G}\alpha}\) proteins. Their activation leads to the hyperpolarization of GABA interneurons and a concomitant reduction of release probability at their terminals, which subsequently induces the disinhibition of DA neurons, resulting in their excitation [46].

**\(\gamma\)-Hydroxybutyrate**

GHB has two binding sites in the brain. One is an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) [55] and the other is the GABA\(_B\)R [56]. GABA\(_B\)Rs are expressed on both DA and GABA neurons of the VTA [47]. At recreationally relevant doses, GHB preferentially activates GABA neurons because they express G-protein-coupled inwardly-rectifying potassium (GIRK)1/2 heteromeric effector channels, which couple more tightly to GABA\(_B\)Rs than to the GIRK2/3 channels found in DA neurons [49]. In fact, the EC\(_{50}\) value for GHB is an order of magnitude higher in DA neurons than GABA neurons [47]. Furthermore, this difference is...
amplified by the regulatory G-protein signaling protein RGS2, selectively expressed in DA neurons [48,49]. RGS proteins are GTPase-accelerating proteins which can modulate the coupling of GIRK channels to their respective GPCRs [57]. As a consequence, only GABA neurons are hyperpolarized at GHB concentrations below 1 mM, causing disinhibition of DA neurons [48,49].

Taken together, these findings suggest that opioids, GHB and BDZs, which act at separate molecular targets, all increase mesolimbic DA levels through activation of the
same cellular mechanism, namely the disinhibition of DA neurons.

**Drug-evoked plasticity in VTA synapses**

Apart from the actual presence of the drug in the brain, drug-evoked plasticity of glutamatergic synapses represents a first trace of drug exposure; it is in fact a hallmark feature of all addictive drugs [58,59]. A single dose of cocaine, nicotine, or morphine induces a rectification of the current/voltage ($I/V$) curve of AMPAR-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs), and increases the AMPA/NMDA ratio [58,59]. Electrophysiological investigations together with electron microscopy (EM) studies have confirmed that such inward rectification is due to a change in the subunit composition of AMPARs – specifically, GluA2-containing AMPARs are exchanged for GluA2-lacking AMPARs at synaptic sites within the VTA [59,60] (Box 2).

**Box 4. Outstanding questions**

Many important questions remain to be answered in relation to BDZs and the neural basis of their addictive properties. A number of the most important areas for future research in this topic are highlighted below.

1) **The physiology of inhibition in the VTA**:

The basic work on BDZ effects on the mesolimbic system also teaches us something about the physiology of GABA transmission in the VTA. Future studies will help to address the extent to which DA neuron diversity is also associated with specific (inhibitory) circuitry. For example, is the firing frequency of DA neurons, which are activated by salient but aversive stimuli, similarly controlled by interneurons and therefore also subject to disinhibition by BDZs? 

2) **BDZ addiction – beyond the VTA**:

The remodeling and plasticity of brain reward circuitry induced by addictive drugs is not limited to the VTA but also involves the NAc, the PFC, and many other brain regions. This has been demonstrated to be the case with many drugs of abuse, including cocaine, morphine and nicotine, but has not yet been investigated in detail following chronic BDZ exposure. Such experiments will be important for determining the commonalities that BDZ share with other addictive drugs, and also for identifying the specific attributes that make them unique.

3) **Use of BDZs in addicts – cross-talk between drugs**:

BDZs are often prescribed for patients who abuse other drugs, for example, to dampen withdrawal symptoms or to decrease anxiety in these patients. It will be important to re-assess such use in the light of our increasing understanding of the addictive properties of BDZs.

4) **Subunit-specific pharmacology of BDZ – the clinical reality**:

Despite the availability of experimental subunit-specific GABAergic drugs for more than a decade [112], no selective BDZs have yet been introduced into clinical practice. The reasons for this are largely unknown. However, it appears that the failures observed during drug development and clinical trials are unrelated to the primary mechanism of action of these experimental compounds. For instance, it has been shown that L-838,417 has unfavorable pharmacokinetics in rodents [113]. Therefore, more efforts should be directed towards addressing the pharmacokinetics and the toxicity of these compounds, to render them safe and effective for future clinical use.

**Role of $\alpha$-1-GABA$_A$Rs in addiction**

A single intra-peritoneal (i.p.) injection of a BDZ (such as midazolam or diazepam) in mice is also sufficient to induce synaptic plasticity at excitatory glutamatergic synapses onto DA neurons of the VTA [8,9] (Figure 1). Intra-VTA injections of BDZs in mice were also observed to induce changes in synaptic plasticity [9]. Furthermore, it was shown that such BDZ-evoked synaptic plasticity changes depend on $\alpha$-1-containing GABA$_A$Rs because the changes were abolished in $\alpha$-1-H101R KI mice [9].

The changes in synaptic plasticity observed in the VTA after a single injection of a drug of abuse are believed to represent a necessary permissive step for triggering long-term synaptic changes that eventuate in addiction if injections are repeated and drug use becomes chronic. Several studies have observed adaptive plasticity changes in the NAc and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) after chronic drug exposure [61,62]. These investigations focused on cocaine- and morphine-evoked plasticity changes; future studies will have to address the question of whether similar changes also occur with BDZs (Box 4). Because drug-evoked plasticity changes in the VTA and NAc have been proposed to be hierarchically organized [63,64], it is feasible that chronic exposure to BDZs is likely to eventually also induce long-lasting plasticity alterations in the NAc and PFC.

Interestingly, BDZ-evoked synaptic plasticity excitatory transmission were also observed in the hippocampus [65,66]. Using electrophysiological recordings of AMPAR-mediated currents, immunofluorescence and EM, these studies reported enhanced insertion of GluA2-lacking AMPARs at Schaffer collateral synapses in rats withdrawn from chronic flurazepam exposure, similar to the changes observed in the VTA after a single injection of BDZ [9] and other drugs [58,59].

**Role of non $\alpha$-1-GABA$_A$Rs in addiction**

In addition to targeting $\alpha$-1-containing GABA$_A$Rs, which mediate BDZ-evoked disinhibition and synaptic plasticity changes in the VTA, other lines of evidence suggest a potential role for anxiolytic effects in BDZ addiction. The anxiolytic actions of BDZs are mediated by $\alpha$-2- [35] and possibly $\alpha$-3-containing GABA$_A$Rs [37]. Support for this conclusion comes from behavioral studies in monkeys which were first trained to self-administer (i.v. administration) the short-acting barbiturate methohexital, and were then offered different classes of drugs that act at the BDZ site [67,68]. These drugs include diazepam and midazolam (i.e. classical non-selective BDZs which act at $\alpha$-1- and $\alpha$-5-containing GABA$_A$Rs), zolpidem (a non-classical BDZ site ligand that exerts higher affinity for $\alpha$-1-containing GABA$_A$Rs compared to $\alpha$-2- and $\alpha$-5-containing GABA$_A$Rs) and L-838,417 (a positive BDZ site modulator of $\alpha$-2- and $\alpha$-5-containing GABA$_A$Rs but an antagonist at $\alpha$-1-containing GABA$_A$Rs) (Table 1 and Box 3). Under these conditions, the monkeys self-administered all four compounds, hence the authors concluded that each of these compounds had reinforcing properties [67,68]. However, in a direct comparison using a progressive-ratio self-administration paradigm, the breakpoint was highest for zolpidem, intermediate for both midazolam and diazepam, and lowest for L-838,417. The breakpoint represents the number of lever presses made by the animal to obtain an injection of drug; this reflects how much the animal would work for the drug. In this study zolpidem was more reinforcing than midazolam/diazepam, whereas L-838,417 was
the least reinforcing drug (i.e. the monkeys were more motivated to perform the task to obtain zolpidem than for L-838,417) [67,68]. From the results of this study it was concluded that α1-containing GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs are sufficient, but not necessary, for mediating the addictive properties of BDZs.

It should be noted, however, that in this study L-838,417 was also self-administered by the monkeys, therefore α1-sparing compounds might not be completely devoid of addiction liability. Furthermore, in these particular studies the monkeys had been trained with barbiturates before being tested with BDZs. Therefore, the reward system had already been primed and modified by another addictive drug, hence potentially confounding any subsequent conclusions regarding the reinforcing effect of a drug such as L-838,417. It would be of great interest to repeat these experiments with naïve animals. It should also be noted that another study using TPA023 (a positive modulator at α2/α3-containing GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs but essentially an antagonist at α1/α3-containing GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs) [69] (Table 1 and Box 3) showed that compounds sparing (or antagonizing) α1-containing GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs could have a low abuse liability only when the same compound also has a reduced efficacy at GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs containing α2/α3-subunit isoforms [70].

Taken together, these findings propose that α1-sparing compounds can contribute to BDZ addiction, albeit through DA-independent mechanisms. This component could be related to the anxiolytic effect mediated by α2- and/or α3-containing GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs. However the neural basis of this latter effect still remains to be determined.

Other drugs which have pharmacological actions at GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs

In addition to the GABA site to which the experimental compound muscimol binds, GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs possess additional allosteric modulatory sites at which several other drugs including barbiturates and ethanol exert their effects. Barbiturates were largely replaced by BDZs in the 1960s for clinical purposes [71] because BDZs have a significantly lower potential for overdose. In addition, barbiturates have a strong liability for dependence and addiction, which was not observed with BDZs at that time.

Ethanol

Studies over the last decade have shown that ethanol can specifically alter the function of several ligand-gated ion channels including GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs and other ionotropic receptors including NMDA, serotonin (5-HT$_{3}$) and glycine receptors. A high-affinity ethanol binding site on GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs that contains a combination of α4 (or α6), β3, and δ subunits has

### Table 1. Representative examples of clinical and experimental drugs that act at the BDZ-binding site of GABA$_{\text{A}}$Rs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic name</th>
<th>Trade name (example)</th>
<th>Use*</th>
<th>Classical BDZ</th>
<th>Non-classical ligands</th>
<th>Positive modulator</th>
<th>Negative modulator</th>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>K$_{i}$ (nM)</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>Valium</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>α1/α2/α5 α3</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α3</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flunitrazepam</td>
<td>Rohypnol</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>α1/α2/α5 α3</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α3</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>Hypnovel</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>α1/α2/α5 α3</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α3</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flumazenil</td>
<td>Anexate</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>α1/α2/α5 α3</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α3</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zolpidem</td>
<td>Stilnox</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>α1/α2/α3</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α3</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-838,417</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>α2/α3 α5</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α5</td>
<td>0.2–0.5</td>
<td>[68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPA023</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>α2/α3 α5</td>
<td>α1</td>
<td>α1/α5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>[117]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The subunit selectivity for each compound is listed together along with the relative drug-binding affinity (K$_{i}$).

*In this context, the term ‘experimental’ refers to compounds that are not currently in clinical use. The term ‘clinical’ refers to the fact that these drugs have been approved for therapeutic use, but does not exclude experimental uses for these drugs.
been proposed [74]. However, the idea that specific GABAARs display high sensitivity to ethanol is still controversial [75], and a specific molecular target for ethanol action in the VTA has not been definitively identified.

Several studies have investigated the physiological and pharmacological effects of ethanol on VTA neurons. One study reported an ethanol-induced increase of DA neuron activity in a rodent VTA slice preparation [76]. Furthermore, ethanol was shown to directly excite VTA DA neurons both in a dissociated VTA neuron culture model [77] and in vivo [78]. It was subsequently proposed that ethanol could actually enhance the intrinsic pacemaker activity of DA neurons [79]. In addition to modulating intrinsic properties of DA neurons, ethanol might also affect synaptic transmission mediated by GABAARs. For example, in an in vivo study with halothane-anesthetized rats, ethanol applied locally in the VTA decreased the spontaneous firing rate of GABA neurons [80]. Specifically, systemic ethanol injection produced early inhibition followed by later excitation at 30–60 min. Thus, this study suggests that ethanol modulation of an extrinsic input could excite GABA neurons [80].

Taken together, these studies indicate that ethanol and BDZs both act through GABAARs to increase extracellular DA levels in the VTA, although different subtypes could be implicated. It would be interesting to confirm and elaborate further this shared mechanism. The identification of a specific target for ethanol in VTA cells would be an important first step towards this goal. In addition, it would be important to measure whether plastic changes in synaptic plasticity at excitatory afferents onto DA cells are observed in the presence of ethanol, as was the case with BDZs [9].

**Muscimol**

Muscimol is a non-addictive GABAAR agonist. Even though it does not have addictive properties, its pharmacological effects on the VTA are of interest here, particularly when contrasted to the effects of the BDZs. When administered directly into the VTA, low doses of muscimol cause an increase of DA levels in the NAc [54] via an increase of DA neuron firing that presumably results from selective inhibition of GABA inputs [50,81] and the consequent disinhibition of DA neurons [82]. However, at higher concentrations muscimol inhibits DA neurons [83]. Such an inverse dose-dependent response contrasts with observations made following BDZ administration. One reason for this might be that muscimol is an agonist at the GABA site (and not a positive modulator such as BDZs), therefore it can inhibit DA neurons (via actions at GABAARs expressed on these cells) even when the afferent GABA interneurons are silent and no longer releasing GABA.

**Blueprint for designing a BDZ without addiction liability**

In summary, recent work on the neural basis of the addictive properties of BDZs suggests that receptors that contain the GABAAR α1 subunit are important for triggering drug-evoked synaptic plasticity at VTA synapses – an important first step underlying drug reinforcement. Although many outstanding questions remain to be addressed in future experiments (Box 4), current findings suggest that BDZs that act non-selectively at GABAAR subtypes, and/or drugs which target α1-containing GABAARs, have a high addiction liability. This conclusion accords with the results of studies in which monkeys preferred to self-administer the α1-selective compound zolpidem [67] over midazolam, a non-selective BDZ [68]. These animal experiments are mirrored by clinical case reports confirming the dependence and abuse liability of zolpidem [84–86]. α1-sparing compounds, on the other hand, could represent promising candidates in the search for BDZs with reduced addiction liability. For example, the non-classical BDZ, L-838,417, fails to induce the type of synaptic plasticity in the VTA that are generally considered to be a permissive step towards the development of addiction [9].

When considering the development of new compounds that either spare or antagonize α1-containing GABAARs one must take into account whether such drugs will still be effective in acting at the GABAAR subtypes that mediate the sedative, anterograde amnesic and anticonvulsant actions of classical BDZs. Therefore α1-sparing compounds will certainly not be suitable for all indications. However, imidazo-BDZ carbamoxamide derivatives (e.g. imidazenil) are α1-sparing compounds that have been shown to have anxiolytic and anticonvulsant actions without (at least so far) demonstrating tolerance and dependence liabilities in monkeys [87] and in rodents [88,89] (Table 1). It could therefore be possible to dissociate anxiolysis from addictive liability, which would have important clinical ramifications for the effective treatment of anxiety-related disorders.
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